2013R00225:FJN/DCC

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Crim. No. 14-274 (MAS)

: 18 U.S.C. § 1341

: 18 U.S.C. § 2

MARIJAN CVJETICANIN

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury in and for the District of New Jersey, sitting at Newark, charges:

Counts 1-9 (Mail Fraud)

Relevant Entities and Individuals

- 1. At all times relevant to this Superseding Indictment unless otherwise specifically noted:
 - a. "Company A" was a business outsourcing company that had its global headquarters in Roseland, New Jersey.
 - b. "Company B" was a global technology company and operations provider to the financial services industry. Company B had offices in Jersey City, New Jersey.
 - c. "Law Firm A" was a law firm located in New York, New York that specialized in immigration law. Law Firm A served as outside counsel to Company A and Company B for immigration matters.

- d. Defendant MARIJAN CVJETICANIN ("CVJETICANIN") was employed by Law Firm A from approximately September 1996 through approximately September 2012. During the majority of his employment with Law Firm A, CVJETICANIN worked as a paralegal. In or around 2010, CVJETICANIN became licensed to practice law in the State of New York.
- e. Flowerson Holdings, Inc., a/k/a Flowerson Advertising ("Flowerson"), purported to be an advertising agency located in New York, New York that was controlled by CVJETICANIN.
- f. The United States Department of Labor ("DOL") was an agency of the executive branch of the United States and was empowered to approve and process applications for permanent employment certifications.
- g. The United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS") was an agency of the executive branch of the United States and was empowered to approve and process applications for permanent residency.

Background and Overview of the Immigration Process

2. Many companies in the United States, including Company A and Company B, employed foreign nationals through a temporary non-immigrant visa known as an "H-1B" visa, which had to be approved by USCIS.

- 3. In general terms, an H-1B visa allowed employers based in the United States to temporarily employ foreign workers in certain specialty occupations for a period of time.
- 4. If an employer wished to apply for permanent residency on behalf of an employee, the employer had to demonstrate, among other things, that it had a need to hire a foreign worker for a specific position and that there was no minimally qualified United States citizen available to fill that particular position. To meet those requirements, an employer had to engage in recruiting by, among other things, placing print advertisements in the geographic location where the position was located and/or in an appropriate professional journal, and had to attest in a labor certification submitted to the DOL and USCIS that it had advertised for the specific position and interviewed any qualified applicants. The DOL would periodically audit these labor certifications and, on occasion, request additional information from the filer.
- 5. A significant percentage of Law Firm A's representation of Company A and Company B involved preparing applications for permanent residency for certain foreign-citizen employees of Company A and Company B, including individuals who will be referred to herein as "Applicant 1" through "Applicant 9." CVJETICANIN was the case manager for these matters and handled the day-to-day tasks, including, among other things, overseeing the job advertisement process, and coordinating with representatives of Company A and Company B to gather relevant information pertaining to the DOL certifications.

6. To fulfill the advertising requirements of the DOL certification process, Law Firm A had utilized the services of third-party advertisement agencies, including Flowerson.

The Scheme to Defraud

7. From at least as early as 2010 through in or about September 2012, in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere, defendant

MARIJAN CVJETICANIN

did knowingly and intentionally devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud Company A and Company B and to obtain money and property from Company A and Company B by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, which scheme and artifice to defraud was in substance as set forth below, and for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, knowingly and intentionally caused to be placed in post offices and authorized depositories for mail matter, matters and things to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service.

Object of the Scheme to Defraud

8. It was the object of the scheme to defraud for CVJETICANIN and others to obtain money and property from Company A and Company B by fraudulently billing and collecting monies from Company A and Company B for services which Flowerson never provided.

Manner and Means of the Scheme to Defraud

- 9. It was part of the scheme to defraud that at some point prior to January 2010, CVJETICANIN caused Law Firm A to replace its existing advertising agency with Flowerson.
- 10. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that from at least 2010 through in or about September 2012, CVJETICANIN concealed his interest in and control of Flowerson from Law Firm A, Company A, Company B, and others.
- 11. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that beginning in or about January 2010 and continuing through September 2012, Flowerson purportedly handled all of the advertisement obligations of Company A and Company B in connection with the DOL certifications for permanent residency applications.
- 12. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that throughout this time, CVJETICANIN sent over \$500,000 in invoices on behalf of Flowerson to Company A and Company B in New Jersey by mail for advertising services allegedly rendered, including a combined total of approximately \$206,210 for advertisements that were purportedly placed in Computer World magazine.
- 13. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that the invoices CVJETICANIN caused to be mailed to Company A and Company B contained false and fraudulent statements because neither CVJETICANIN nor Flowerson placed many of the advertisements for which Company A and Company B were

invoiced. Indeed, CVJETICANIN and Flowerson did not place any advertisements in Computer World during the relevant time period.

- 14. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN and Flowerson caused Company A and Company B to pay fraudulent invoices under the belief that the relevant advertisements had been placed.
- 15. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN falsely informed other persons at Law Firm A that he had placed the required advertisements knowing that such false information would be submitted to the DOL and USCIS in connection with labor certifications and permanent residency applications, including in response to audits conducted by the DOL.
- 16. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN, as the case manager and later attorney, was responsible for, among other things, preparing the information to be submitted to the DOL regarding the print advertisements that had purportedly been placed concerning Company A and Company B. Because, in many instances, CVJETICANIN had not placed the required print advertisements, he was unable to provide copies of the relevant print advertisements in response to DOL audit requests.
- 17. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN, in order to avoid detection of his fraud, took print advertisements that he and Flowerson had placed in the past, altered them by superimposing them on a publication from another date, photocopied them, and submitted them in response to DOL audit requests. The photocopied submissions purported to show that the relevant advertisements had been placed on the appropriate

dates, when, in fact, the actual newspapers that were printed on those dates contained no such advertisements.

- 18. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN deposited into a checking account maintained by Flowerson at TD Bank (the "Flowerson TD Account") and other related accounts payments that Company A and Company B made to Flowerson for advertisements that were never placed.
- 19. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that CVJETICANIN disbursed funds from the Flowerson TD Account and other related accounts to benefit himself, his family, and other entities controlled by him. Among other things, CVJETICANIN used funds obtained from Company A and Company B to finance his lifestyle and service his debts.
- 20. On or about the dates set forth below, in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere, having devised and intending to devise the above-described scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, defendant

MARIJAN CVJETICANIN

for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the above-described scheme and artifice to defraud, knowingly and intentionally placed and caused to be placed in a post office and authorized depository of mail, and caused to be delivered thereon, certain mail matter, namely fraudulent invoices identified below to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service, and by any private and commercial interstate carrier to Company A and Company B in the

District of New Jersey, each constituting a separate count of this Superseding Indictment.

Count	Date	Invoice Number	Amount	Victim	Applicant
1	September 7, 2010	818-XX	\$3,276	Company B	Applicant 1
2	October 2, 2010	826-XXX	\$3,195	Company A	Applicant 2
3	October 2, 2010	827-XXX	\$2,770	Company A	Applicant 3
4	November 13, 2010	844-XX	\$3,343	Company B	Applicant 4
5	March 6, 2011	860-XXX	\$3,128	Company A	Applicant 5
6	September 22, 2011	910-XX	\$3,242	Company B	Applicant 6
7	January 4, 2012	1938	\$3,380	Company B	Applicant 7
8	January 5, 2012	1941	\$3,222	Company A	Applicant 8
9	July 31, 2012	1999	\$3,219	Company A	Applicant 9

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 1. The allegations contained in all paragraphs of Counts 1 through 9 of this Superseding Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of noticing forfeitures pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendant that, upon conviction of the offenses charged in Counts 1 through 9 of this Superseding Indictment, the government will seek forfeiture, in accordance with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), of any and all property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, alleged in Counts 1 through 9 of this Superseding Indictment, including but not limited to a sum of money equal to at least \$579,000 in United States currency.
- 3. If by any act or omission of the defendant, any of the property subject to forfeiture described in paragraph 2 herein:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty, the United States of America will be entitled to

forfeiture of substitute property up to the value of the property described above in paragraph 2, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL,

FOREPERSON

PAUL J (FISHMAN

United States Attorney

CASE NUMBER: 14-cr-274 (MAS)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

MARIJAN CVJETICANIN

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT FOR

18 U.S.C. § 1341 18 U.S.C. § 2

A True Bill,

Foreperson,

PAUL J. FISHMAN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY NEWARK, NEW JERSEY Francisco J. Navarro
Dennis C. Carletta
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS
973-645-2700